G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

2 W 3 - 43 (1-6)
153-20 (W 2 - 377) (Mem C)
Later references.
153-20 (W 2, 25 477)
153-20 B 154 11

Treasury

File No 153-20
Serial Nos 849

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 849

Part B for November 1921.

Subject.

Rules for regulating the Lay-out of Jetties and Constructions & Structures in Immovable (other than Bandar & Mysore Jetties.

(28) From the Secretary Govt. P.C. 337-20 dt 29-6-21.
Request Govt. might issue rules to prescribe for adoption by certain local bodies the rules framed for regulating the lay-out and construction thereon and Explanatory

(29) From the Secretary Govt. P.W.D. to Chief Engineer & Accountant Officer

Re Ayesha Bibi

No 257 82. Dy 4 11/21.

Lay out instructions re extensions in Mian Muncipalities.

Abdul Rahman Khan

12-12-21.

D 30

Department of Public Health in Mysore

OFFICE OF THE SANITARY COMMISSIONER
WITH THE GOVT OF MYSORE,

Bangalore dated the 29th June 1921

No Ge337-20
Enc

D.69
L'

From

A. K PANI, Esq., D.P H, etc, Jud 153-20-8

Offg Sanitary Commissioner with the Government of
H H the Maharaja of Mysore, BANGALORE

To

B. Ramasawmaiya, Esq , B.A.,B.L ,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, B A N G A L O R E
(Revenue Department)

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. R. 13646 M1 153-20-7 dated the 6th Instant, I have the honor to bring to the notice of Government that if the lay-out of extensions and the construction of dwellings therein are not strictly regulated on a uniform basis at the inception, the State will, at no distant date have to pay the penalty in expending large sums of money for the removal of the sanitary defects arising from faulty planning and execution. The rules proposed by the Committee for the acceptance of Government treat only of the general principles to be observed irrespective of local conditions.

The adoption of these rules cannot therefore be left to the choice of the local bodies. I would request that under the circumstances you will be so good as to move Government to be pleased to prescribe these rules in the same manner as was done in the case of the rules for combating epidemics vide Government Order No. 21371-402 San. 101-17-12 dated the 25th March 1919.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Offg. Sanitary Commissioner.

5603 192

From

THE SANITARY COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

To

The Rev Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore

Dated 29 6 21

Despatched 29 6 21

Received 30 JUN 1921

General No. GC 337 - 28

No

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT

Requesting that Government may be moved to prescribe for adoption by certain Local Bodies the rules framed for regulating the lay out and construction of houses and extensions

---

(2)

} R. S. III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No /53 of 19 20

Serial No

3) The Rural Councils may ~~make~~ lay out extensions on terms within their jurisdiction out of their own funds under Section 56(a) of the Rural Regn. Draft rules are now submitted to regulate the extensions laid out in accordance with and also the construction of buildings thereon.

11-7-21

~~The~~ Such rules or bye laws have to be framed by the Authority concerned Govt cannot

J

8-1921-6 putting 4) Where is the New Secy's letter dated 2-10- quoted by the Secy Shri ?

5) The rules proposed for Secs 48 to 51 of the Regn & only Rural Councils should bye laws therefore Can Govt- the bye laws can them ?

6) In the case of Municipal Councils also they Exempted

NOTE—Contn. sheet

69(3

G. R. S III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rl File No. 158 of 1972

Serial No

from the operation of that section? If so, Govt can frame these rules and make them obligatory on the Rural Councils only

1/7/72
5/8

The rules proposed will in certain respects under clauses (v), (q), & (r) of the Section 48 of the Rural Regn

(p) Framing of Bye-Laws is not obligatory on Rural Councils, under Section 48 of the Regn and so Govt cannot force the Bye Laws on them

(q) Minor Rural Councils are not exempted from the operation of Sec 48

(r) There is no provision in the Rural Regn empowering Govt to frame and issue such rules for the guidance of Rural Councils.

Yk 9/8 BM 11/8/72

(s) The suggestion of the Govt cannot be given effect to

Noted 11/8

Note—Contn sheet

12/12 (3) the draft rules framed by 16/8

127
16/8/72

69 4

(A. S. III 2)

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 153 of 19 14

Serial No

are no more than the matter would be if Municipality were not to be less than 10 ft. This is a point upon which the Municipal Council do not agree much enlightenment.

(4) There is no use of rules and rules without such matters. The scope of the rules should be regulated by the circumstances of each locality and it is questioned whether the question could be left entirely to the Local Govt. and does not the Local rules and rules discussed in Englands and Wales also provide that the drainage of the area should be in accordance with Municipal Council that the Local Govt. should only be consulted in case of disputes between Municipal Council and Sanitary Authority

(5) The Sanitary Superintendent of Factories may be consulted when

NOTE—Contn sheet

23 5

G 9 15 5

G R. S. III 2,

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mysore File No. 153 of 1920

Serial No	

V 6 N° 19
L 26 8 21

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer Mysore for favour of opinion in the matter

By order

H. Venkatarama
for Rec'y

P.T.O

S. R. S. III 2,

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 153 of 1921

08767 Serial No

No 4 of PWD
9 September
1921

7 SEP 1921

Returned with compliments-

2 As observed by the Second Member of Council it may be laid down that all plans for town extensions should be first approved by the Superintending Engineer, Sanitary Circle, and deviations from such approved plans should not be allowed without approval of that officer

Chief Engineer of Mysore

Bangalore,
9 September 1921

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. & I. 2)

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rl File No. 153 of 1920

7

Serial No

1) Submitted with the Ld Opinion the Chief Engr suggesting that all town extensions should be first approved by the Sanitary Engr Sanr Circle

2) In this connection attention is respectfully invited to para 1 of P.D. No 3891-93/Genl 133-14-10 dt 17th Jany 1918 on the subject of the town extension schemes to be scrutinised by the Sanitary Engr

8/9/21 10/9/21 (3rd member) 10/9/21

1987 16/9/21

2) The rule quoted above requires amendment. Please put up the file in that shape

20/9/21 20/9

2) Rl 133-17-21 relating to Chi & D Suburbs is submitted as called for

22/9/21 (2nd Member) 23/9/21

2176 24/9

23) May be submitted to the 3rd member on return from leave

Peel 26/9

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

69 8

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 153 of 19 20

Serial No

2174

(1) In my last I have observed that the Sub-committee formed in municipal towns are not well laid out and such arrangements in drainage have not been well considered - In the G.O. of last 17th it has been stated that the Boards of Guardians in those Municipalities should be sent their Sanitary plans for approval but the Sanitary plans not being any expense is not to be expected by the Municipalities especially in the matter of drainage I would therefore suggest that the plans approval of the Sanitary expense may be obtained by the Boards instead of that of the Sanitary plans.

(2) In one case I found that a Municipal Council had altered the plan & should the Sub-committee & deviation from the Sanctioned plan should not be allowed except with the sanction of the Sanitary Engineer.

(3) The Civil Surgeon has commented to the above proposals - the objection may be appeal in Madras & the

NOTE—Contn sheet.

[R. S. III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 153 of 1920

Serial No.

S OFFICE.

SEP 29 1921

20

Mentioned above
27) Please submit for his approval
to Warden

Dewan)

28) 30 9 21

29 9 21

3 10 21

29) Draft to Subd for appl

9 to 21 9/10/21

30) Please put up a typed copy as revised

10/10/21

31) A typed draft to Subd for appl

11 10 21

Rev Secy)

11/10/21

32) maybe appd

2483 12 10 21

3rd member)

33) appd

11 10 21

21 10

34) Issue

26/10/21

More—Contn sheet.

69 / 10

E. S III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

NF File No 153 of 19 20

Serial No	
9	To The Surv Comm The Secy to Govt of W D The Chief Engineer The Presidy Bldg & Rds, Madras with spare copies The Dy Comm of Districts L 2578-E2 of 4 11 21 Transmit instructions re extensions in municipalities Yes

NOTE—Contn sheet

Proceedings of the Government of H H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read Again -

Para 2 of G.O No 386-93/Ml 133-17-1 dated the 17th Jan.1918 directing that - extensions in Minor Municipalities to - accommodate not more than fifty houses -- be sanctioned by the Deputy Commissioner after obtaining the approval of the Distt Sanitary Officer.

2. Official Memo No R 10658-65/Ml. -- 153-20-2 dated the 28th March 1921 forwarding to the Deputy Commissioners of -- Distts for necessary action a set of - draft rules prepared by a special Committee appointed for regulating the lay out of -- extensions and the construction of houses in the existing extensions and those to be newly formed in the Municipalities other than those of Bangalore and Mysore Cities and Kolar Gold Fields

3. Letter No G C.337-20 dated the 29th June 1921 from the Sany Comar in Mysore, stating that, if the lay out of extensions and the construction of dwellings therein, are not strictly regulated on a uniform -- basis at the inception, large sums of -- money may have to be ~~expended~~ expended for the removal of defects arising from faulty planning and execution and that therefore the adoption of the rules cannot be left to the choice of the local bodies and --- suggesting that these rules may be prescribed by Govt. in the same manner as was done in the case of the rules issued for combating epidemics

Order

May this be

i Printed ?

treated as a standing order ?

published in the Gazette, English Part ?

translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

-2-

L 2570-82 12
and 153-20-9

Order--No

Dated Bangalore 4th November 1921

After a careful consideration of the matter, Govt consider that ~~there~~ it is ~~likely to be no use of~~ not advisable unnecessary to prescribe a set of rules for regulating the lay out of sites etc in minor Municipalities as such matters should generally be regulated according to the circumstances of each locality.

V

The Govt Order of the 17th January 1918 read above authorises Depy Comrs to --- sanction extensions in minor municipalities ~~after obtaining~~ without the approval of the Distt San Officers so ~~t~~ have reason to believe that this system has not been working satisfactorily, as the Distt San Officer, not being an Engineer, is not able to give useful advice to the Municipalities, especially, in the matter of drainage Govt therefore consider it desirable to direct in modification of the above order, that all plans for town extensions in minor municipalities should receive the previous approval of the Superintending Engineer Sany Circle, who should see that the building sites are of proper dimensions, the houses and sites are properly laid out and that the drainage of the area receives due attention as instances have come to the notice of the Govt of cases in which the Munl Comrs had themselves altered the plans approved by the Superintending Engineer and spoiled the extensions altogether Govt further

th -

13

think it desirable to direct that deviations from the plans approved by the Sany Engineer should not be allowed except with the approval of that Officer

Rev Secretary to Govt

To

The Sany Coms in Mysore

" Secy to Govt P D

Chief Engr in Mysore

Presidents of Bangalore and Mysore City Mul Councils

Dep Comrs of Distts

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G O No. L. 2570-82—Ml. 153-20 9 dated 4th November 1921

Plans for Town Extensions in Minor Municipalities.

Directs that —— should receive previous approval of the Superintending Engineer, Sanitary Circle.

READ AGAIN—

Para 2 of Government Order No. 3881-93—Ml 133 17 1, dated the 17th January 1918, directing that extensions in Minor Municipalities to accommodate not more than fifty houses be sanctioned by the Deputy Commissioner after obtaining the approval of the District Sanitary Officer

2 Official Memo No. R. 10658-65—Ml 153 20-2, dated the 28th March 1921, forwarding to the Deputy Commissioners of Districts for necessary action a set of draft rules prepared by a Special Committee appointed for regulating the lay out of extensions and the constructions of houses in the existing extensions and those to be newly formed in the Municipalities other than those of Bangalore and Mysore Cities and Kolar Gold Fields.

3 Letter No G C 337 20, dated the 29th June 1921, from the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore, stating that, if the lay out of extensions and the construction of dwellings therein, are not strictly regulated on a uniform basis at the inception, large sums of money may have to be expended for the removal of defects arising from faulty planning and execution and that therefore the adoption of the rules cannot be left to the choice of the local bodies and suggesting that these rules may be prescribed by Government in the same manner as was done in the case of the rules issued for combating epidemics.

ORDER No. L 2570-82—ML 153-20-9, DATED 4TH NOVEMBER 1921

After a careful consideration of the matter, the Government consider that it is not advisable to prescribe a rigid set of rules for regulating the lay out of sites, etc., in Minor Municipalities, as such matters should generally be regulated according to the circumstances of each locality

The Government Order of the 17th January 1918 read above authorises Deputy Commissioners to sanction extensions in Minor Municipalities with the approval of the District Sanitary Officers. Government have reason to believe that this system has not been working satisfactorily, as the District Sanitary Officer, not being an Engineer, is not able to give useful advice to the Municipalities, especially in the matter of drainage. The Government therefore consider it desirable to direct, in modification of the above order, that all plans for town extensions in Minor Municipalities should receive the previous approval of the Superintending Engineer, Sanitary Circle, who should see that the building sites are of proper dimensions, that houses and sites are properly laid out and that the drainage of the area receives due attention. As instances have come to the notice of the Government of cases in which the Municipal Councils had themselves altered the plans approved by the Sanitary Engineer and spoiled the extensions altogether, the Government further think it desirable to direct that deviations from the plans approved by the Sanitary Engineer should not be allowed except with the approval of that Officer

D SRINIVASAIYENGAR,
Offg Secy to Govt, Rev Dept

To—The Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore
The Secretary to Government, Public Works, Department.
The Chief Engineer in Mysore
The Presidents of Bangalore and Mysore City Municipal Coun.....
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Pbd—A. M. E.

WD 949—3273—24-11-21—250